

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/599,761	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JOKELA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2431

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** pending(1) MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.(2) Ronald Liu. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.**Date of Interview:** 18 October 2010**Time:** 2:00pm**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed an amendment which would put the application into condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to said amendments which appears as part of this action.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/M. R. V./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2431

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)